UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,713	02/25/2002	Alan Colman	10758.105012 CON REV1002	9155	
²⁰⁷⁸⁶ KING & SPAI	7590 01/22/2007 DING LLP		EXAMINER		
1180 PEACHT	REE STREET		TON, TH	TON, THAIAN N	
ATLANTA, G	GA 30309-3521		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7 11	ı
17	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/080,713	COLMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thaian N. Ton	1632	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			T			
Delore the filling of all Appeal Bile!	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thaian N. Ton	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12/18/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of examples of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropring the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office.	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 December 2006. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replication.) AMENDMENTS)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		(BTO) 00 ()			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timaly filed emendme	ent cancaling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii submitted in a separate,	unlery med amendine	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	$igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigt\ igthigg$ will not be entered, or b) $igtigtigt\ igt$ will wild ded below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>62-66, 70-73, 75-90, 98-100, 102-127,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>131-133</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		thouse	tou.			
		THAIAN N. TO PATENT EXAMIN	N NER			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments are not entered because they raise new issues that would require further search and consideration, and potential issues of new matter. Applicants have amended claim 70 and 102 to now recite that the modification comrpises placing an exogenous gene adjacent to an endogenous promoter in the nuclear genome. This amendment raises a new issue because this is a separate consideration than the claim's previous limitations, which required placing an exogenous promoter adjacent to an endogenous promoter requires the endogenous promoter to express the exogenous gene, thus the exogenous gene would be expressed in the tissues that the endogenous promoter is active. However, placing an exogenous promoter adjacent to an endogenous gene could require a change in the expression pattern of the endogenous gene, with respect to the type of exogenous promoter that would be used. These differences raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Applicants have not provided any remarks or arguments with regard to the prior rejections of record. Because the claim amendments are not entered, the prior rejections of record are maintained.

Claims 62-66, 70-73, 75-90, 98-100, 102-127, 131-133 stand rejected under 112, 1st paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The prior rejection of record is maintained for reasons of record, advanced on pages 2-14 of the Office action, mailed 6/16/06.